

VPP PROPOSED CHANGES FOR 2026 FROM THE ITC ANALYSIS OF THE XR41

Background

The ORC VPP is updated yearly based on the submissions from the ORC Nominating Bodies, feedback from the events and data from the performance database. Anything incorrect found during the season can trigger an investigation to understand if a boat is performing better or worse than her polar curves. The ORC's goal through the ITC work is to provide equitable ratings for the fleet, without any typeforming to any boat or design feature.

How did the issue of XR-41 arise?

During the ORC Worlds 2025 in Tallinn it was noted that rating differences (time allowances in different wind speeds and directions) between XR-41 and X-41 were unusual. The following tables of time allowances show the handicap polars for both boats, the lower table shows the difference between the two, the 'deltas'.

X-41

Time Allowances in secs/NM											
Wind Velocity	4 kt	6 kt	8 kt	10 kt	12 kt	14 kt	16 kt	20 kt	24 kt		
Beat Angles	43.1	43.1	41.0	39.1	37.9	37.7	37.4	37.4	38.5		
Beat VMG	1289.8	930.8	763.4	683.6	652.3	636.8	627.4	618.6	626.6		
52	821.7	610.2	517.7	478.5	463.0	456.0	451.3	446.1	445.8		
60	767.4	579.5	500.5	467.0	451.6	444.2	439.1	432.8	432.3		
75	733.9	560.4	490.1	459.4	441.1	428.7	421.0	410.8	406.1		
90	774.7	572.1	485.7	457.8	439.7	423.0	409.3	391.2	380.7		
110	743.8	553.1	470.9	437.1	415.8	402.0	390.1	369.6	352.2		
120	766.3	563.7	474.9	438.5	412.1	390.3	374.1	347.7	323.6		
135	884.1	626.4	507.3	457.2	427.7	401.3	375.8	320.7	273.9		
150	1054.2	745.0	593.6	506.2	459.4	433.3	410.7	365.1	292.8		
Run VMG	1218.1	860.3	685.4	584.5	527.0	495.4	470.2	421.5	338.1		

XR-41

Time Allowances in secs/NM											
Wind Velocity	4 kt	6 kt	8 kt	10 kt	12 kt	14 kt	16 kt	20 kt	24 kt		
Beat Angles	42.9	42.9	40.7	39.1	38.6	38.5	38.4	38.5	39.9		
Beat VMG	1256.2	914.9	759.8	692.3	664.4	648.9	639.7	632.4	646.0		
52	802.2	602.9	517.3	478.3	460.5	451.3	445.6	439.7	440.8		
60	755.2	574.2	498.6	462.4	444.6	436.0	430.0	422.8	423.4		
75	726.8	555.8	485.6	450.6	430.3	416.0	406.8	394.7	389.9		
90	722.1	546.3	472.1	437.8	419.9	407.3	391.4	371.5	361.3		
110	745.0	551.8	466.4	426.8	401.4	381.9	364.3	341.6	326.7		
120	763.9	560.3	469.1	427.0	396.2	371.5	355.1	322.4	294.8		
135	885.1	623.6	501.1	445.9	412.3	382.4	355.0	303.6	261.1		
150	1050.7	741.6	589.8	500.2	447.8	417.8	391.3	340.9	269.0		
Run VMG	1213.7	856.3	681.0	577.6	515.3	480.8	451.5	393.6	310.7		

Rating differences between X-41 and XR-41

Wind Velocity	4 kt	6 kt	8 kt	10 kt	12 kt	14 kt	16 kt	20 kt	24 kt
Beat Angles	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.0	-0.7	-0.8	-1.0	-1.1	-1.4
Beat VMG	33.6	15.9	3.6	-8.7	-12.1	-12.1	-12.3	-13.8	-19.4
52	19.5	7.3	0.4	0.2	2.5	4.7	5.7	6.4	5.0
60	12.2	5.3	1.9	4.6	7.0	8.2	9.1	10.0	8.9
75	7.1	4.6	4.5	8.8	10.8	12.7	14.2	16.1	16.2
90	52.6	25.8	13.6	20.0	19.8	15.7	17.9	19.7	19.4
110	-1.2	1.3	4.5	10.3	14.4	20.1	25.8	28.0	25.5
120	2.4	3.4	5.8	11.5	15.9	18.8	19.0	25.3	28.8
135	-1.0	2.8	6.2	11.3	15.4	18.9	20.8	17.1	12.8
150	3.5	3.4	3.8	6.0	11.6	15.5	19.4	24.2	23.8
Run VMG	4.4	4.0	4.4	6.9	11.7	14.6	18.7	27.9	27.4

Red shows where X-41 is rated faster, while green is where XR-41 is rated faster. The XR-41 is rated faster in almost all points of sail, she is rated slower in VMG upwind over 10 kts of wind. Competitors inevitably asked the question why the XR-41 is predicted to sail slower upwind while she is faster in all other conditions. Only the VMG upwind and downwind ratings affect the handicaps for the windward/leeward races.

In summary, the new XR 41 is about one foot longer, has some 12 square meters more of upwind sail area, and has a 20 to 25 percent higher righting moment at a 20 degrees heel. Despite these numbers, the XR 41 is predicted to sail slower than the X-41 upwind from 10 knots TWS in the ORC rating. The deltas in the table above reflect the more powerful characteristics of the XR41 everywhere **except** for the upwind VMG, which is **half** of the windward leeward time allowance.



What was done by the ITC?

Whilst no formal submission was made, the Chief Measurer and some ORC Congress members asked the ITC to evaluate this discrepancy between the upwind VMG and all other points of sail. The ITC discussed the matter during the ITC meeting in Malta on the 10th of October. The meeting was attended virtually by several observers and the minutes are published on the ORC website.

The ORC Residuary resistance model uses a set of ANNs (Artificial Neural Networks) as part of its calculations. The ANNs were trained using CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics) data points with a range of various input parameters. In analysing these input parameters, it was noted:

- There is a lack of CFD data for heavy boats going fast, i.e. low LVR (short L or high volume) at higher Froude Numbers (non-dimensional speed/length ratio). This was a deliberate choice when setting up the test program to avoid running data points that were not likely to happen. Now at the highest speeds, some boats are falling outside the available data and the ANNs with a resulting drop in residuary resistance prediction accuracy.
- Looking along the row of plots of CWPA vs other parameters (e.g. Froude number, LVR, BTR, etc.) the XR-41 parameters lie well above the cloud of test data points on which the model is trained. The same is true for the Class 40 but to a lesser extent. Again, this means the predictive accuracy of the ANNs is reduced.
- For all the other parameters, the XR-41 data lies comfortably inside the CFD data.
- Overall, this means that the ANNs used to calculate the residuary resistance have no data to work out the
 interactions between CWPA and the other parameters. This makes the ANN unreliable, and as found by
 the designers; the Rr is unrealistically high.

What is the solution proposed?

To correct this uncertainty in the calculation of the residuary resistance for boats whose parameters lie outside the reliable range, the ITC has proposed the following solution:

- Introducing hard limits on the input parameters to the Rr force model that prevent the use of values that lie outside the appropriate range.
- In the few instances where the LVR and Fn approaches or lies outside the test data, the Rr prediction will be smoothly blended to match the 2013 model (without use of the ANNs).



What is the effect of the proposed VPP changes?

A new comparison was made with proposed VPP resulting as follows:

Time Allowances in secs/NM

Velocity	4 kt	6 kt	8 kt	10 kt	12 kt	14 kt	16 kt	20 kt	24 kt
Beat Angles	43.1	43.1	41.0	39.1	37.9	37.7	37.4	37.4	38.5
Beat VMG	1289.8	930.8	763.4	683.6	652.3	636.8	627.4	618.6	626.6
52	821.7	610.2	517.7	478.5	463.0	456.0	451.3	446.1	445.8
60	767.4	579.5	500.5	467.0	451.6	444.2	439.1	432.8	432.3
75	733.9	560.4	490.1	459.4	441.1	428.7	421.0	410.8	406.3
90	774.7	572.1	485.7	457.8	439.7	423.0	409.4	391.7	381.3
110	743.8	553.1	470.9	437.1	415.8	402.3	390.5	369.9	352.7
120	766.2	563.7	474.9	438.5	412.2	390.6	374.4	348.3	325.2
135	884.1	626.4	507.3	457.2	427.7	401.4	375.5	321.8	277.8
150	1054.2	745.0	593.6	506.2	459.4	433.3	410.8	365.1	297.5
Run VMG	1218.1	860.3	685.4	584.5	527.0	495.4	470.2	421.6	343.5

X-41

XR-41

Time Allowances in secs/NM											
Wind Velocity	4 kt	6 kt	8 kt	10 kt	12 kt	14 kt	16 kt	20 kt	24 kt		
	43.0	43.0	40.6	39.1	38.5	38.2	38.0	38.9	40.5		
Beat VMG	1239.9	899.8	744.7	677.5	650.5	635.7	627.0	619.5	632.5		
52	790.3	592.2	506.7	469.3	453.8	445.6	440.5	435.1	436.1		
60	742.0	563.6	489.2	455.2	439.5	431.7	425.9	419.1	418.2		
75	712.2	545.4	477.6	445.3	426.0	412.6	404.2	393.0	388.4		
90	710.5	537.6	465.2	433.4	416.7	404.5	389.7	370.1	359.0		
110	734.7	544.6	461.1	423.4	399.5	380.7	362.6	336.7	318.2		
120	754.1	553.4	464.0	424.0	394.5	370.1	352.8	313.3	283.7		
135	876.4	615.7	494.4	442.5	410.4	381.4	351.7	293.6	254.6		
150	1040.3	733.3	582.5	494.5	444.6	415.9	390.6	330.7	262.7		
Run VMG	1201.9	846.7	672.6	571.0	510.9	478.1	450.4	381.8	303.3		

Rating differences between X-41 and XR-41

Wind Velocity	4 kt	6 kt	8 kt	10 kt	12 kt	14 kt	16 kt	20 kt	24 kt
Beat Angles	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.0	-0.6	-0.5	-0.6	-1.5	-2.0
Beat VMG	49.9	31.0	18.7	6.1	1.8	1.1	0.4	-0.9	-5.9
52	31.4	18.0	11.0	9.2	9.2	10.4	10.8	11.0	9.7
60	25.4	15.9	11.3	11.8	12.1	12.5	13.2	13.7	14.1
75	21.7	15.0	12.5	14.1	15.1	16.1	16.8	17.8	17.9
90	64.2	34.5	20.5	24.4	23.0	18.5	19.7	21.6	22.3
110	9.1	8.5	9.8	13.7	16.3	21.6	27.9	33.2	34.5
120	12.1	10.3	10.9	14.5	17.7	20.5	21.6	35.0	41.5
135	7.7	10.7	12.9	14.7	17.3	20.0	23.8	28.2	23.2
150	13.9	11.7	11.1	11.7	14.8	17.4	20.2	34.4	34.8
Run VMG	16.2	13.6	12.8	13.5	16.1	17.3	19.8	39.8	40.2

With these changes to the VPP, the X-41 ratings are unchanged. Those for the XR-41 are changed and the XR-41 is no longer rated slower on VMG upwind (except over 20 kts of wind).

The ITC recommends this new VPP to Congress. It corrects the oversight of not imposing parameter limits and it corrects the anomalous behaviour of the XR-41 VMG values. The change in handicaps in no way makes the XR-41 uncompetitive; rescoring the ORC Worlds 2025 with the proposed 2026 VPP still has Formula X winning the regatta, discarding a 3rd place.

Original results VPP 2026 rescoring

